

The Denial of Felt Experience

An Existentialist Philosophical Essay

Author: Virginie Glaenzer



Preamble

Human beings have always searched for a reason to exist. Across centuries and cultures, philosophy has returned again and again to the same questions:

Why are we here? What gives life meaning? How should we live?

Our time is no different.

But modern life has made these questions feel especially urgent. We have access to more knowledge and information than any generation before us. Science and technology have transformed the way we live. Yet having more information has not necessarily made us feel more secure, more certain, or more at peace.

In many ways, the opposite has happened. The more we know, the more difficult it can become to know what to believe, what to trust, and what truly matters.

When the beliefs and structures that once gave people a sense of meaning begin to weaken, we can feel lost. We may experience ourselves as powerless, overwhelmed, or even as victims of forces beyond our control. These feelings do not remain inside us. They appear in our relationships, our social conflicts, our behavior, and sometimes in the way we experience our own bodies.

The Denial of Felt Experience is an attempt to respond to this modern existential crisis.

It proposes a way of understanding our subjective experience and its relationship to our social identities so that we are not controlled by it. Its purpose is not to make us stop feeling. It is to help us create enough distance from what we experience to discover a deeper awareness of being alive.

Introduction

For centuries, Western philosophy has been deeply influenced by René Descartes' famous statement: "***I think, therefore I am.***"

Thought was presented as proof of existence.

Today, we seem to live by a different principle: "***I feel, therefore I am.***"

We increasingly experience our existence through what we feel, through our emotions, our bodily sensations, our desires, our fears, and the reactions we receive from other people.

In this essay, a feeling is a particular emotion or sensation, such as fear, anger, pleasure, or pain. Felt experience is broader: it is the whole subjective experience of what happens within

us, including emotions, bodily sensations, impulses, perceptions, desires, fears, and the meanings we instinctively give to what happens. A feeling is part of this experience, but does not encompass it.

This essay begins with a distinction between two ways of experiencing life: the felt experience of existing and the consciousness of existing.

The felt experience of existing takes shape through our sensations, emotions, perceptions, and the meanings we give them. Something happens, and I feel joy, fear, anger, pleasure, rejection, excitement, pain, or desire. These feelings make life immediate and real. But the way I experience an event can also become the measure by which I decide what is true and who I am.

The consciousness of existing is different.

It is the ability to recognize that I exist even when my emotions change, even when other people do not approve of me, and even when the world around me feels uncertain. It is a quieter form of awareness, one that does not depend entirely on what I feel in a particular moment.

The central idea of *The Denial of Felt Experience* is that freedom requires us to deny our felt experience absolute authority over reality, truth, and identity. Here, “denial” does not mean suppressing emotion or pretending that an experience did not happen. It means recognizing what we experience without allowing it, by itself, to define what is true, who we are, or what we must do.

When the Outside World No Longer Gives Us Meaning

Scientific and technological progress has improved human life in extraordinary ways. It has helped us explain phenomena that were once mysterious, extend life, reduce suffering, communicate instantly, and gain access to an enormous amount of knowledge.

But progress has also changed our relationship with mystery, faith, institutions, and authority. Many people no longer place the same confidence in religion, political institutions, social structures, or corporations that previous generations may have done.

The old landmarks have weakened, while new ones have not always taken their place.

This creates a paradox.

We know more about the world, yet we may feel less certain about our place within it. When the outside world no longer provides a stable sense of meaning, we naturally turn inward.

We ask ourselves: *What do I feel? What does my instinct tell me? What feels true to me?*

Our felt experience can seem like the most immediate and trustworthy source of guidance available. Yet the immediacy of an experience does not guarantee the truth of the meaning we give it.

The Limits of Instinct and Felt Experience

Instinct is powerful because it is ancient. It developed to help human beings survive.

Fear warns us of danger.

Desire moves us toward what we need.

The need for belonging keeps us connected to other people.

These responses are not mistakes; they are part of being human.

But a system designed for survival is not necessarily a system designed to give life meaning.

Our need for belonging and recognition can become especially powerful in a highly connected society. Technology has multiplied the number of ways in which we can see other people and be seen by them.

Approval, attention, comparison, rejection, and status can now reach us throughout the day.

As a result, social identity can begin to dominate our sense of self.

We may start to experience our value through the reactions of others: *Who notices me? Who approves of me? How many people respond? How do I compare? Do I belong?*

The digital world did not create the human desire for recognition, but it can amplify it.

The search for sensations, pleasure, reassurance, excitement, distraction, validation can then become difficult to control. Social media, food, alcohol, drugs, consumption, and other behaviors can all become ways of repeatedly producing a feeling that temporarily reassures us that we exist, that we matter, or that we belong.

What The Denial of Felt Experience Means

The purpose of this philosophy is not emotional numbness.

It is not a rejection of joy, love, intuition, pleasure, grief, or human connection. Feelings are real, and they contain information.

The problem begins when we treat what we experience as unquestionable evidence of reality or of who we are. What I experience is real as an experience. But it is not necessarily an accurate account of reality, a truth about the world, or a definition of my identity.

I can feel rejected without knowing that someone intended to reject me. “I feel rejected” describes my experience; “I am unwanted” is an interpretation; “I am unworthy” turns that interpretation into an identity. I can also feel afraid without being incapable, admired without becoming superior, or angry without allowing anger to decide what I do next.

To deny felt experience absolute authority is therefore not to deny the experience itself.

It is to recognize what I feel without assuming it is the truth. I can observe a feeling, attend to a bodily sensation, or question an instinctive interpretation without automatically obeying it or dismissing what it may tell me.

This creates a space between experience, interpretation, and identity. In that space, we can become more conscious of how we respond.

From the Felt Experience of Existing to the Consciousness of Existing

The journey proposed in this essay is a movement from being governed by the felt experience of existing toward the consciousness of existing.

The felt experience of existing says: I know I exist because I can feel myself reacting to the world, and I find meaning in those reactions.

The consciousness of existing says: I know I exist even when I step back from those reactions and observe them.

This shift can free us from the constant need to prove our existence through attention, status, pleasure, conflict, or recognition. It allows us to relate to other people without making them responsible for confirming our worth.

From this perspective, inner peace does not come from eliminating emotion.

It comes from no longer giving our felt experience the final word on what is true or who we are. Outer peace becomes more possible when our relationships are not driven entirely by the need to defend, display, or validate a social identity.

At its deepest level, The Denial of Felt Experience points toward another possibility: to live not primarily in search of recognition, but through creation, contribution, and a less self-centered relationship with others.

When we are no longer constantly trying to feel that we exist, we may become freer to simply exist — and to create from that place.

Table of Contents

Part I — The Concepts

- The Felt Experience
- Social identity
- Denial
- Faith
- The Felt Experience of Existing
- The consciousness of existing
- Selflessness

Part II — How We Arrived Here

- The evolution of philosophy and its limits
- The loss of landmarks
- The loss of faith and certainty

Part III — The Principles of the Denial of Felt Experience

- The Felt Experience of Existing
- Truth and our beliefs
- Social games and social identity
- The consciousness of existing
- Toward freedom, creation, and inner peace